

APPROVED: 31 July 2019

Statement on the available outcomes of the human health assessment in the context of the pesticides peer review of the active substance chlorpyrifos-methyl

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA)

Abstract

In July 2019, the European Commission asked EFSA to provide a statement on the available outcomes of the human health assessment in the context of the pesticides peer review for the renewal of approval of the active substance chlorpyrifos-methyl conducted in accordance with Commission Implementing Regulation (EC) No 844/2012. The current statement contains a summary of the main findings of the assessment related to human health following the pesticides peer review expert discussions in mammalian toxicology held between 1 and 5 April 2019, as well as EFSA's additional considerations, including whether the active substance can be expected to meet the approval criteria applicable to human health as laid down in Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. The identified concerns are presented as follows.

© European Food Safety Authority, 2019

Keywords

chlorpyrifos-methyl, pesticide, insecticide, peer review, human health assessment

Acknowledgements: EFSA wishes to thank the following for the support provided to this scientific output: Antonio Hernandez and Susanne Hougaard.

© European Food Safety Authority, 2019



Summary

Chlorpyrifos-methyl is an active substance covered by the third batch of the renewal programme for pesticides ('AIR3') in accordance with Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 844/2012.

Applications (June 2013) and supplementary dossiers (July 2015) for the renewal of approval of the active substance chlorpyrifos-methyl were submitted by Dow AgroSciences and by Sapec Agro SA.

An initial evaluation of the dossiers was provided by the rapporteur Member State (RMS) Spain in the Renewal Assessment Report (RAR) which was submitted to EFSA in July 2017. Subsequently, EFSA initiated a peer review of the pesticides risk assessment on the RMS evaluation in line with the provisions of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 844/2012.

The commenting period was completed and included a public consultation on the RAR. Following evaluation of the comments received as well as the additional information provided by the applicants in response to a request in accordance with Article 13(3) of Regulation (EU) No 844/2012, a meeting of experts from EFSA and Member States, including relevant experts from the EFSA Panel on Plant Protection Products and their Residues (PPR Panel), took place to discuss certain elements related to mammalian toxicology.

In July 2019, prior to completion of the full peer review process, EFSA was mandated by the European Commission to provide a statement on the available outcomes of the human health assessment in the context of the peer review of chlorpyrifos-methyl.

The present statement contains a summary of the main findings of the assessment related to mammalian toxicology and human health following the Pesticides Peer Review Expert discussions in mammalian toxicology held between 1 and 5 April 2019, including EFSA's additional considerations including whether the active substance can be expected to meet the approval criteria which are applicable to human health as laid down in Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

The hazard assessment of chlorpyrifos-methyl was discussed in the Pesticides Peer Review Experts' meeting held between 1 and 5 April 2019 and the approach taken by the experts was largely based on the structural similarity with chlorpyrifos.

The available genotoxicity dataset submitted for chlorpyrifos-methyl did not show any concern. However, the experts considered that it lacked the additional relevant information retrieved e.g. from the literature for chlorpyrifos. Therefore, the experts concluded that the genotoxicity potential of chlorpyrifos-methyl remains as unclear as that of chlorpyrifos.

As for the developmental neurotoxicity, a DNT study was available, which did not show relevant effects, however it had some significant limitations related to the controls, making a reliable statistical analysis impossible. Therefore all the experts, but one, agreed that, the DNT study on chlorpyrifos-methyl being inconclusive, a specific DNT NOAEL could not be set and



the LOAEL of 0.3 mg/kg bw per day derived from the data on chlorpyrifos (study from 1998; Spain 2019b) could be conservatively applied to chlorpyrifos-methyl.

Based on the above, also in the case of chlorpyrifos-methyl, the experts agreed that no reference values could be set, a fact that made it impossible to perform a risk assessment for consumers, operators, workers, bystanders and residents.

The experts conservatively applied the same approach as for chlorpyrifos, considering that chlorpyrifos-methyl would also meet the criteria for classification as toxic for reproduction category 1B (regarding developmental toxicity).

Based on the above, it is considered that the approval criteria which are applicable to human health as laid down in Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, are not met. It is noted that, after the Pesticides Peer Review Experts' meeting held between 1 and 5 April 2019, EFSA reconsidered the read-across approach applied for the hazard identification after a full comparison of the available toxicological data: it was agreed to re-discuss this issue in an experts' meeting. The outcome of the discussions might impact on the assessment of the specific studies, on the possibility to identify a classification as well as on the setting of reference values for chlorpyrifos-methyl.



Table of Contents

Abstra	nct	1
	nary	
1	İntroduction	5
1.1	Background and Terms of Reference as provided by the requestor	6
2	Assessment	
2.1	Mammalian toxicity	6
2.2	Genotoxicity	
2.3	Reproductive/developmental toxicity and endocrine disruption	8
2.4	Developmental neurotoxicity (DNT)	9
3	Conclusions	
4	References	
Appen	dices	13
	ndix A - List of end points for the active substance and the representative	
	formulations with regard to impact on human health	13
Appe	ndix B - Used compound codes	
	ary and abbreviations	



1 Introduction

Chlorpyrifos-methyl is an active substance covered by the third batch of the renewal programme for pesticides ('AIR3') in accordance with Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 844/2012¹.

Applications (June 2013) and supplementary dossiers (July 2015) for the renewal of approval of the active substance chlorpyrifos-methyl were submitted by Dow AgroSciences and by Sapec Agro SA. The rapporteur Member State (RMS) is Spain and the co-rapporteur Member State (co-RMS) is Poland.

An initial evaluation of the dossiers was provided by the RMS in the Renewal Assessment Report (RAR) which was submitted to EFSA on 3 July 2017 (Spain, 2017). On 18 October 2017, EFSA initiated a peer review of the pesticides risk assessment on the RMS evaluation, by dispatching the RAR to the Member States and applicants for consultation and comments in line with the provisions of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 844/2012. In addition, a public consultation was also conducted.

After the completion of the commenting period, and following a comment evaluation phase, on 4 July 2018 EFSA requested the applicants to provide certain additional information related to all areas of the assessment including mammalian toxicology in accordance with Article 13(3) of Regulation (EU) No 844/2012, which was evaluated by the RMS and presented in an updated RAR (Spain, 2019a). Subsequently, in April 2019 a meeting of experts from EFSA and Member States, including relevant experts from the EFSA PPR Panel, took place to discuss certain elements related to mammalian toxicology.

By means of the mandate received on 1 July 2019 from the European Commission, prior to completion of the full peer review process, EFSA was requested to provide a statement with an overview of the available outcomes of the human health assessment in the context of the peer review of chlorpyrifos-methyl.

The present document is an EFSA statement containing a summary of the outcome of the expert consultation outlining the main findings of the assessment related to mammalian toxicology and human health following the pesticides peer review expert discussions in mammalian toxicology held in April 2019, including EFSA's additional considerations and an indication whether the active substance can be expected to meet the approval criteria which are applicable to human health as laid down in Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009².

The list of end points for the active substance and the representative formulations assessed in the context of the peer review with regard to the impact on human health is available in Appendix A.

_

¹ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 844/2012 of 18 September 2012 setting out the provisions necessary for the implementation of the renewal procedure for active substances, as provided for in Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market. OJ L 252, 19.9.2012, p. 26.

² Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC. OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1.



1.1 Background and Terms of Reference as provided by the requestor

On 1 July 2019 EFSA was mandated by the European Commission to provide a statement with an overview on the available outcomes of the human health assessment in the context of the pesticides peer review for the renewal of approval of the active substance chlorpyrifos-methyl conducted in accordance with Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 844/2012.

In addition, EFSA was requested to indicate, whether the active substance chlorpyrifos-methyl can be expected to meet the approval criteria which are applicable to human health as laid down in Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

2 Assessment

2.1 Mammalian toxicity

The toxicological profile of the active substance chlorpyrifos-methyl was discussed at the Pesticides Peer Review Experts' Meeting 01 in April 2019 and assessed based on the following guidance documents: SANCO/10597/2003-rev. 10.1 (European Commission, 2012), Guidance on dermal absorption (EFSA PPR Panel, 2012), ECHA/EFSA Guidance for the identification of endocrine disruptors (ECHA/EFSA, 2018) and Guidance on the application of the CLP Criteria (ECHA, 2017).

The hazard assessment of chlorpyrifos-methyl discussed in the peer review experts' meeting was largely based on the structural similarity with chlorpyrifos. It is noted that, after the Pesticides Peer Review Experts' meeting in April 2019, EFSA reconsidered the read-across approach applied for the hazard identification after a full comparison of the available toxicological data: it was agreed to re-discuss this issue in an experts' meeting, that will take place in September 2019: the outcome of the discussion might impact on the conclusions reached during the April 2019 peer review meeting, as well as on the setting of reference values for chlorpyrifos-methyl.

Regarding the technical specifications of the substance placed on the market by either of the two applicants, they are not supported by the toxicological assessment since most impurities were not tested at the levels in the technical specification. However, regarding the toxicological relevance of the impurities, considering the toxicological profile of chlorpyrifos-methyl, as discussed in the April 2019 peer review meeting, it is not expected that the impurities present in the technical specification would have the potential to add additional hazard established for the parent. Two impurities (sulfotemp and sulfotemp ester) have been considered as toxicologically relevant by the European Commission (European Commission, 2012) who established a maximum level of 5 g/kg. Therefore their maximum levels in the newly proposed technical specification of 5 and 3 g/kg respectively are in agreement with these requirements. The analytical methods used in the toxicological studies were not available for most of the studies, representing a concern in particular for the genotoxicity assessment (based on regulatory studies) but not for the critical findings which were retrieved for chlorpyrifos from the published literature (such as the Columbia Center for Children's Environmental Health (CCCEH) study).



In rats, chlorpyrifos-methyl is extensively absorbed after oral administration, it is widely distributed, extensively metabolised through de-methylation, hydrolysis and conjugation, and eliminated mostly through urine within 72 h. An *in vitro* metabolism study indicates that the metabolic profiles in rat and human are qualitatively similar, but different in quantitative terms. Chlorpyrifos-methyl metabolism rate in humans is lower compared to that of rats *in vitro*.

In the acute toxicity studies, chlorpyrifos-methyl showed low toxicity when administered by the oral, dermal or inhalation routes. The substance did not elicit a potential for skin or eye irritation, or for phototoxicity, but was shown to be a skin sensitiser. Accordingly, chlorpyrifos-methyl is classified according to the CLP criteria as Skin Sens 1, H317 'may cause an allergic skin reaction', as established in Annex VI of Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008³ regarding human health.

At the April 2019 Peer Review Experts' meeting, the experts suggested⁴ that classification of chlorpyrifos-methyl as acute neurotoxicant STOT SE 1, in accordance with the criteria set out in Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008, would be appropriate based on the available toxicological dataset.

The main effect following short- to long-term repeated oral administration of chlorpyrifos-methyl was the inhibition of acethylcholinesterase (AChE) activity, which, at high dose levels, was leading to endogenous cholinergic overstimulation resulting in typical cholinergic symptoms. Erythrocyte (RBC) AChE inhibition was the critical effect in all studies conducted with rats, mice and dogs. Additionally, the adrenals (increased weight, hypertrophy and vacuolation of cells of the zona fasciculata) were identified as target organ of chlorpyrifos-methyl in rats. The relevant no-observed-adverse-effect level (NOAEL) for short-term toxicity was 0.65 mg/kg bw per day from the 28-day toxicity study in mice and 0.1 mg/kg bw per day for long-term exposure from the 2-year study in rats based on significant decrease of RBC AChE activity in both studies and adrenal toxicity upon long-term exposure in rats only. No evidence for a carcinogenicity potential was found upon chlorpyrifos-methyl administration in rats or mice.

No information has been provided on the immunotoxic potential of chlorpyrifos-methyl, therefore a data gap was identified.

2.2 Genotoxicity

During the Pesticides Peer Review 01 Experts' meeting, the experts discussed the *in vitro* and *in vivo* regulatory studies provided in the RAR:

• gene mutation: the experts considered that the results from the three bacterial and the two mammalian gene mutations assays overall showed that chlorpyrifos-methyl does not induce gene mutations *in vitro*.

³ Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures, amending and repealing Directives 67/548/EEC and 1999/45/EC, and amending Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006. OJ L 353, 31.12.2008, p. 1–1355.

⁴ It should be noted that classification is formally proposed and decided in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008.



- chromosome aberration in vitro: the results of two different assays were discussed and chlorpyrifos-methyl was considered positive in the presence of S9 in CHO cells but negative in rat lymphocytes both in the absence and in the presence of S9.
- unscheduled DNA synthesis: one in vitro study was submitted and produced negative results.
- *in vivo* studies in somatic cells (mouse bone marrow micronucleus test): the two studies available in the dossier and evaluated in the RAR showed negative findings.
- in vivo rat liver DNA repair test (UDS): chlorpyrifos-methyl did not damage DNA in rat liver.

The regulatory data package showed positive findings just in one *in vitro* chromosome aberration study in CHO cells in the presence of S9. Overall, the data package did not show any concern and the experts discussed whether DNA damage was considered covered by the available studies. It was also noted that there is no public literature available for chlorpyrifosmethyl with regard to the genotoxic potential, while several publications were available for chlorpyrifos instead. The experts discussed the structural similarity between chlorpyrifos and chlorpyrifos-methyl and the similar toxicokinetics of the two molecules and agreed to read across between chlorpyrifos and chlorpyrifos-methyl. Since concerns were raised for chlorpyrifos with regard to chromosome aberration, DNA damage (oxidative stress and topoisomerase II inhibition), the experts concluded that a data gap is present for chlorpyrifosmethyl with regard to DNA damage. All the experts agreed that these uncertainties should be considered in the risk assessment of chlorpyrifos-methyl as well, i.e. it cannot be excluded that chlorpyrifos-methyl may have DNA damaging potential.

The database submitted for chlorpyrifos-methyl did not show any specific concern; however it lacked the additional relevant information retrieved e.g. from the literature. Therefore the experts concluded that also the genotoxicity potential of chlorpyrifos-methyl remains unclarified as that of chlorpyrifos. It is noted however that, after the experts' meeting, EFSA reconsidered the read across approach applied by the experts and topic will be reconsidered in an experts' meeting in September 2019.

2.3 Reproductive/developmental toxicity and endocrine disruption

In a two-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats, chlorpyrifos-methyl did not affect the reproductive performance up to the highest dose of 10 mg/kg bw per day tested, while RBC AChE inhibition and adrenal toxicity were the critical effects related to parental toxicity with a NOAEL of 1 mg/kg bw per day; in this study, RBC AChE inhibition was the critical effect in pups with a NOAEL of 3 mg/kg bw per day. Developmental toxicity was investigated in rats and rabbits. Erythrocyte AChE and brain AChE inhibition was the critical effect identified regarding maternal toxicity in rats, while no adverse effect was observed in rabbit. No developmental adverse effects were observed in either rats or rabbits.

The availability of a multigenerational study conducted according to the most recent test guideline showed no evidence for endocrine-mediated adversity at dose levels not producing signs of overt toxicity (AChE inhibition). On this basis it was concluded that mechanistic studies are not required to assess the endocrine disruption potential of chlorpyrifos-methyl following



the guidance for identification of endocrine disruptors (ECHA/EFSA, 2018). On this basis, all experts agreed that chlorpyrifos-methyl is not an endocrine disruptor in humans.

2.4 Developmental neurotoxicity (DNT)

During the Pesticides Peer Review 01 Experts' meeting in April 2019, Member State experts and two experts from EFSA's Panel on Plant Protection Products and their Residues (PPR Panel), discussed the available data regarding developmental neurotoxicity of chlorpyrifosmethyl. They took into consideration and discussed in detail: a) the DNT study in rats from 2015 (Spain, 2019a); b) public literature presented in the systematic review provided by the applicants; c) additional literature provided by the experts or during the commenting period.

In the DNT study in rats, pregnant rats were exposed to different levels of chlorpyrifos-methyl (0, 2, 10 and 50 mg/kg bw per day) from day 6 of gestation until lactation day 21. The only effects observed were test substance-related and statistically significant lower RBC AChE and brain AChE activity values compared to the control group in maternal generation at 10 and 50 mg/kg bw per day. Regarding offspring toxicity, pup growth, survival and clinical conditions were unaffected; according to the RMS no test-substance related effects were observed on body weights, body weight gains, attainment of developmental landmarks, detailed clinical observations, motor activity, auditory startle, learning and memory, macroscopic examinations and measurements, neuropathology or brain morphometry at any dietary concentration at any age. However, it should be noted that a significant decrease in the height of cerebral hemisphere on postnatal day (PND) 72 was observed in males at the top dose. In addition, a statistically significant inhibition of RBC AChE was observed in males at 50 mg/kg bw per day on PND 21. At the experts' meeting in April 2019 all the experts agreed to set a maternal NOAEL at 2 mg/kg bw per day based on decreased RBC AChE and brain AChE activity. The experts noted that, despite the study was performed according to current OECD 426 guideline (OECD, 2007) the cerebellum height in pups (considered the most sensitive endpoint in the DNT study performed with chlorpyrifos) could not be evaluated since just three control samples in females were available on PND 72. Therefore, considering the low statistical power, no reliable analysis could be performed, representing a major deviation from the study protocol. No changes in cerebellum height were reported for males and females at PND 21 and for males at PND 72, but the measurement was only available at the highest dose. In addition, it should be noted that cerebellum height was not corrected by brain weight and re-analysis of the data corrected for brain weight would be useful to compare also the results presented by Mie et al. (2018) in the case of chlorpyrifos, although recognising that statistical analysis could not be performed in the absence of sufficient control samples in females.

All the experts, but one, agreed that, the DNT study on chlorpyrifos-methyl being inconclusive, a DNT NOAEL could not be set and the LOAEL of 0.3 mg/kg bw per day derived from the data on chlorpyrifos (study from 1998; Spain 2019b) could be conservatively applied to chlorpyrifosmethyl. The overall approach will be reconsidered in the September 2019 experts' meeting.

The experts discussed the epidemiological evidence showing associations between chlorpyrifos and chlorpyrifos-methyl exposure during neurodevelopment and adverse health effects (attention deficit/hyperactivity disorders, decrease in intelligent quotient and working memory, etc.). In particular, three main birth cohort studies were considered: the Columbia Center for



Children's Environmental Health (CCCEH) study (US EPA, 2016), the Center for the Health Assessment of Mothers and Children of Salinas (CHAMACOS) (Castorina, *et. al.* 2010 and Marks *et. al.* 2010) and Mt. Sinai study (Sebe *et. al.*, 2005). Using different biomarkers of exposure, these studies show that prenatal exposure to organophosphates (OPs) produces a consistent pattern of early cognitive and behavioral deficits (Rauh *et al.*, 2012). The experts discussed also other epidemiological evidence from the public literature. The majority of the experts considered that the results from some of these studies (mainly from CCCEH study, Rauh *et al.*, 2012; Engel *et al.*, 2011; Silver *et al.*, 2017) contribute to the evidence of DNT effects in humans due to the exposure to chlorpyrifos and chlorpyrifos-methyl and occurring at doses lower than that causing 20% inhibition of AChE. Therefore, this would represent a concern to be taken into consideration for the risk assessment. In addition, it should be noted that in the CHAMACOS study measurement of trichloro-pyridinol (TCP) in urine⁵, common metabolite of both chlorpyrifos and chlorpyrifos-methyl, contributed to the evidence of DNT effects in humans due to the exposure to chlorpyrifos and chlorpyrifos-methyl.

Taking into consideration the developmental neurotoxicity study outcome (reduction in cerebellum height for chlorpyrifos – that could not be explained by the maternal AChE inhibition), the epidemiological evidence showing an association between chlorpyrifos/chlorpyrifos-methyl exposure during development and neurodevelopmental outcomes, and the overall analysis of the published literature (*in vivo*, *in vitro* and human data), the experts suggested⁶ that classification of chlorpyrifos-methyl as toxic for the reproduction, REPRO 1B, H360D 'May damage the unborn child' in accordance with the criteria set out in Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 would be appropriate based on the available toxicological dataset.

3 Conclusions

During the Pesticides Peer Review 01 Experts' meeting in April 2019, all the experts, but one, agreed that the Point of Departure (PoD) for setting the reference values for chlorpyrifosmethyl, in the absence of data on cerebellum height corrected by brain weight in the DNT study with chlorpyrifos-methyl (2015; Spain, 2019a), should be, as a conservative assumption, the DNT LOAEL of 0.3 mg/kg bw per day from the DNT study on chlorpyrifos (1988; Spain, 2019b), based on the severity of the effects, until there is no evidence for the contrary. The subject will be re-discussed in an experts' meeting in September 2019.

In the peer review meeting in April 2019 the experts concluded that:

- the concerns raised for chlorpyrifos with regard to chromosome aberration and DNA damage (oxidative stress and topoisomerase II inhibition) may apply to chlorpyrifosmethyl, resulting in an unclear genotoxicity potential
- the DNT effects observed at the lowest dose tested in the DNT study with chlorpyrifos (decrease in cerebellum height corrected by brain weight), indicating a health concern, would be conservatively applied to chlorpyrifos-methyl;

⁵ Post-meeting note: it is also possible that a significant portion of TCP present in urine samples can result from direct intake of TCP preformed in the environment and not as a result of chlorpyrifos or chlorpyrifos-methyl ingestion (Eaton et al., 2008).

⁶ It should be noted that classification is formally proposed and decided in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008.



• the epidemiological evidence supports the developmental neurological outcomes in children for both chlorpyrifos and chlorpyrifos methyl.

Overall, considering the unclear genotoxicity effects reported with chlorpyrifos and the bridging with chlorpyrifos-methyl, the experts agreed that no toxicological reference values could be established for chlorpyrifos-methyl. Furthermore, additional significant uncertainties were linked to the concerns identified in the DNT study with chlorpyrifos, which was considered applicable to chlorpyrifos-methyl, supported by the available epidemiological evidence related to developmental neurological outcomes in children. Due to the lack of toxicological reference values a risk assessment for consumers, operators, workers, bystanders and residents cannot be conducted. This issue represents a critical area of concern for chlorpyrifos-methyl.

Based on the above and also considering the recorded toxicological effects meeting the criteria for classification as toxic for reproduction category 1B (regarding developmental toxicity), it is considered that the approval criteria which are applicable to human health as laid down in Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, are not met. The hazard assessment of chlorpyrifosmethyl discussed in the Pesticides Peer Review Experts' meeting in April 2019 was largely based on the structural similarity with chlorpyrifos. It is noted that, after the experts' meeting, EFSA reconsidered the read-across approach applied for the hazard identification after a full comparison of the available toxicological data: it was agreed to re-discuss this issue in an experts' meeting (that will take place in September 2019). The outcome of the discussions might impact on the current conclusions as well as on the setting of reference values for chlorpyrifos-methyl.

4 References

Castorina R, Bradman A, Fenster L, Barr DB, Bravo R, Vedar MG, Harnly ME, McKone TE, Eisen EA, Eskenazi B, 2010. Comparison Of Current-Use Pesticide And Other Toxicant Urinary Metabolite Levels Among Pregnant Women In The Chamacos Cohort And Nhanes, Environ Health Perspect 118:856-63

Eaton DL, Daroff RB, Autrup H, Bridges J, Buffler P, Costa LG, Coyle J, McKhann G, Mobley WC, Nadel L, Neubert D, Schulte-Hermann R, Spencer PS., 2008. Review of the toxicology of chlorpyrifos with an emphasis on human exposure and neurodevelopment. Crit Rev Toxicol 2008; 38 Suppl 2: 1-125

ECHA (European Chemicals Agency), 2017. Guidance on the Application of the CLP Criteria; Guidance to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 on classification, labelling and packaging (CLP) of substances and mixtures. Version 5.0, July 2017. Reference: ECHA-17-G-21-EN; ISBN: 978-92-9020-050-5; available online: https://echa.europa.eu/guidance-documents/guidance-on-clp

ECHA (European Chemicals Agency) and EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) with the technical support of the Joint Research Centre (JRC), Andersson N, Arena M, Auteri D, Barmaz S, Grignard E, Kienzler A, Lepper P, Lostia AM, Munn S, Parra Morte JM, Pellizzato F, Tarazona J, Terron A and Van der Linden S, 2018. Guidance for the identification of endocrine disruptors in the context of Regulations (EU) No 528/2012 and (EC) No 1107/2009. EFSA Journal 2018;16(6):5311, 135 pp. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2018.5311. ECHA-18-G-01-EN.



EFSA PPR Panel (EFSA Panel on Plant Protection Products and their Residues), 2012. Guidance on dermal absorption. EFSA Journal 2012;10(4):2665, 30 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2665

Engel SM, Wetmur J, Chen J, Zhu C, Barr DB, Canfield RL, Wolff MS, 2011. Prenatal Exposure To Organophosphates, Paraoxonase 1, And Cognitive Development In Childhood. Environ Health Perspect 119:1182-8

European Commission, 2005. Review report for the active substance chlorpyrifos-methyl finalised in the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health at its meeting on 3 June 2005 in view of the inclusion of chlorpyrifos-methyl in Annex I of Directive 91/414/EEC. SANCO/3061/99 – rev. 1.6, 3 June 2005.

European Commission, 2012. Guidance document on the assessment of the equivalence of technical materials of substances regulated under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. SANCO/10597/2003-rev. 10.1, 13 July 2012.

European Commission, 2015. Review report for the active substance chlorpyrifos-methyl finalised in the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health at its meeting on 3 June 2005 in view of the inclusion of chlorpyrifos-methyl in Annex I of Directive 91/414/EEC. SANCO/3061/99 – rev. 2, 20 March 2015.

Marks AR, Harley K, Bradman A, Kogut K, Barr DB, Johnson C, Calderon N, Eskenazi B, 2010. Organophosphate Pesticide Exposure And Attention In Young Mexican-American Children: The Chamacos Study, Environ Health Perspect 118:1768-74.

Mie A, Rudén C and Grandjean P, 2018. Safety of Safety Evaluation of Pesticides: developmental neurotoxicity of chlorpyrifos and chlorpyrifos-methyl. Environmental Health (2018) 17:77. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-018-0421-y

OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development), 2007. Test No. 426: Developmental Neurotoxicity Study, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 4, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264067394-en

Rauh VA, Perera FP, Horton MK, Whyatt RM, Ravi Bansal R, Hao X, Liu J, Barr DB, Slotkin TA, and Peterson BS, 2012. Brain anomalies in children exposed prenatally to a common organophosphate pesticide. PNAS, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 109, no. 20, 7871–7876, May 15, 2012

Sebe A, Satar S, Alpay R, Kozaci N, Hilal A, 2005. Organophosphate Poisoning Associated with Fetal Death:Mt Sinai J Med. 2005 Sep;72(5):354-6

Silver MK, Shao J, Zhu B, Chen M, Xia Y, Kaciroti N, Lozoff B, Meeker JD, 2017. Prenatal naled and chlorpyrifos exposure is associated with deficits in infant motor function in a cohort of Chinese infants. Environ Int. 2017 Sep;106:248-256. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2017.05.015. Epub 2017 Jun 8

Spain, 2017. Renewal Assessment Report (RAR) on the active substance chlorpyrifos-methyl prepared by the rapporteur Member State Spain in the framework of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 844/2012, April 2017. Available online: www.efsa.europa.eu



Spain, 2019a. Revised Renewal Assessment Report (RAR) on the active substance chlorpyrifosmethyl, volumes relevant for mammalian toxicology, prepared by the rapporteur Member State Spain in the framework of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 844/2012, February 2019.

Spain, 2019b. Revised Renewal Assessment Report (RAR) on the active substance chlorpyrifos, volumes relevant for mammalian toxicology, prepared by the rapporteur Member State Spain in the framework of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 844/2012, February 2019.

US EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency), 2016. US EPA, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution prevention. Memorandum on Chlorpyrifos: Revised Human Health Risk Assessment for Registration Review. November 3, 2016

Appendices

Appendix A -List of end points for the active substance and the representative formulations with regard to impact on human health

Impact on Human and Animal Health

Absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (toxicokinetics) (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.1)

Rate and extent of oral absorption/systemic bioavailability	>80% urinary excretion within 72h, following single and repeat dose administration
Toxicokinetics	C _{max} : 1.12 μg/mL (m) and 1.39 μg/mL (f) 6h and 4h after administration respectively
	Plasma t _{1/2} : 6.6 hours (m) and 7.8 hours (f)
	AUC _{last} 13.9 h*µg/ml (m) and 17.4 h*µg/ml (f)
Distribution	Widely distributed but at low level, < 1 mg/kg (liver)

Rate and extent of excretion Almost complete within 72h, mainly via urine in both single dose and repeated dose studies

Extensively metabolized. Metabolism in animals Through de-methylation, hydrolysis, conjugation Major metabolites included TCP and des-methyl chlorpyrifos-methyl (DEM).

> Metabolic profiles in rat and human similar qualitatively, but constantly different in quantitative terms regarding parent compound, TCP and DEM.

No potential for accumulation

Chlorpyrifos-methyl metabolism rate in human in vitro is lower compared to the rat.

In vitro metabolism

Potential for bioaccumulation



Toxicologically relevant compounds (animals and plants)

Toxicologically relevant compounds (environment)

Chlorpyrifos-methyl	
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	

Acute toxicity (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.2)

Rat LD ₅₀ oral	5000 mg/kg bw	
Rat LD ₅₀ dermal	> 2000 mg/kg bw	
Rat LC ₅₀ inhalation	> 0.67 mg/L air/4h max attainable concentration (whole-body)	
Skin irritation	Non-irritant	
Eye irritation	Non-irritant	
Skin sensitisation	Sensitizer (GMPT)	H317
Phototoxicity	Not phototoxic	

Short-term toxicity (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.3)

Target organ / critical effect	Rat: Nervous system/RBC & brain AChE inhibition	
	Adrenals: ↑ weight, hypertrophy and vacuolation of cells of the zona fasciculata	
	Mouse: RBC AChE inhibition	
	<u>Dog</u> : RBC and brain AChE inhibition	
Relevant oral NOAEL	28-day, mouse: 0.65 mg/kg bw per day	
	90-day, rat: 1 mg/kg bw per day	
	90-day & 2-year, dog: 1 mg/kg bw per day	
Relevant dermal NOAEL	28-day, rat: LOAEL 10 mg/kg bw per day, based on slight vacuolation in adrenals	
Relevant inhalation NOAEL	14-day, rat: NOAEC 0.1 mg/m³ (18 ppb, the highest dose tested)	

Genotoxicity (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.4)

In vitro studies

Bacterial gene mutation tests: 3 tests
negative

Mammalian cells gene mutation tests: 2
tests negative (CHO/HGPRT)



	Chromosome aberration tests: - 1 positive +S9 (in CHO cells) - 1 negative (rat lymphocytes) UDS: negative (primary rat hepatocytes)	
	Micronucleus tests: 2 tests negative UDS: 1 test negative (primary rat hepatocytes)	
	DNA damage: not covered by the available studies with chlorpyrifosmethyl; since concerns were raised for chlorpyrifos with regard to DNA damage (e.g. topoisomerase II inhibition), it could not be excluded that chlorpyrifos-methyl can produce DNA damage.	
Photomutagenicity	No required	
Potential for genotoxicity	DNA damaging potential cannot be ruled out for chlorpyrifos-methyl (based on data available on chlorpyrifos, with a closely related chemical structure.	

Long-term toxicity and carcinogenicity (Regulation (EU) N°283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.5)

Long-term effects (target organ/critical effect)	Rat: adrenals (vacuolation of the zona fasciculata); RBC AChE inhibition Mouse: RBC and brain AChE inhibition
Relevant long-term NOAEL	0.1 mg/kg bw per day (2-year rat) 0.4 mg/kg bw per day (18-month, mouse)
Carcinogenicity (target organ, tumour type)	No carcinogenic potential
Relevant NOAEL for carcinogenicity	Rat: 50 mg/kg bw per day (highest dose tested in the 2-year study)
	Mouse: 40 mg/kg bw per day (highest dose tested ion the 18-month study)

Reproductive toxicity (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.6) Reproduction toxicity

Reproduction target / critical effect	Parental toxicity: RBC AChE inhibition, adrenal glands (increased weight and histopathology (cell vacuolation in the zona fasciculata)
	No reproductive adverse effects



	Offspring's toxicity: RBC AChE inhibition.	
Relevant parental NOAEL	1 mg/kg bw per day	
Relevant reproductive NOAEL	10 mg/kg bw per day (highest dose tested)	
Relevant offspring NOAEL	3 mg/kg bw per day	

Developmental toxicity

	_	
Developmental target / critical effect	Rat:	
	Maternal toxicity: RBC and brain AChE inhibition.	
	Developmental toxicity: no adverse effects observed (AChE was not investigated)	
	Rabbit:	
	Maternal and developmental toxicity: no adverse effects observed	
Relevant maternal NOAEL	Rat: 1 mg/kg bw per day	
	Rabbit: 16 mg/kg bw per day (highest dose tested)	
Relevant developmental NOAEL	Rat: 50 mg/kg bw per day (highest dose tested)	
	Rabbit: 16 mg/kg bw per day (highest dose tested)	

Neurotoxicity (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.7)

Acute neurotoxicity	Inhibition RBC AChE activity NOAEL = 10 mg/kg bw	STOT SE 1
Repeated neurotoxicity	Inhibition RBC and brain AChE activity NOAEL = 1 mg/kg bw per day	
Additional studies (delayed neurotoxicity)	No delayed neurotoxicity after acute or 90-day toxicity studies in hen	
Additional studies (developmental neurotoxicity)	Developmental neurotoxicity study: Maternal NOAEL= 2 mg/kg bw per day, based on RBC and brain AChE activity inhibition.	H360D
	Developmental neurotoxicity NOAEL could not be set since cerebellum height (considered the most sensitive endpoint in the DNT study performed with chlorpyrifos) cannot be evaluated.	
	DNT potential of chlorpyrifos-methyl cannot be dismissed on the basis of the	



evaluation of the DNT study provided in the RAR on chlorpyrifos, the epidemiological evidence and analysis of the overall literature (*in vivo*, *in vitro* and human data).

Other toxicological studies (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.8)

Supplementary studies on the active substance

- Evidence for skin sensitisation in humans
- 14-days human study: NOAEL = 0.3 mg/kg bw per day
- 28-days human study: NOAEL = 0.1 mg/kg bw per day
- The immunotoxic potential of chlorpyrifosmethyl could not be determined

Endocrine disrupting properties

Based on a complete dataset, no endocrinemediated pattern of adversity has been observed at doses not causing overt signs of systemic toxicity (due to AChE inhibition).

Studies performed on metabolites or impurities



3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol (TCP)

- Rat oral LD₅₀ is estimated in 3129 mg/kg bw in females
- TCP did not show a genotoxic potential (Ames test, in vitro UDS and mammalian cell gene mutation, in vivo micronucleus).
- 90-day, rat: NOAEL = 30 mg/kg bw per day based on ↑ liver and kidney weight
- 1-year, dog: NOAEL = 12 mg/kg bw per day (based on based on ↓ body weight, haematological and clinical chemistry effects.
- Developmental toxicity in rats:
 - Maternal NOAEL = 50 mg/kg bw per day based on ↓ in body weight gain
 - Developmental toxicity NOAEL = 150 mg/kg bw per day (highest dose tested)
- Developmental toxicity in rabbit:
 - Maternal NOAEL = 100 mg/kg bw per day based on ↓ in body weight gain
 - Developmental toxicity NOAEL = 25 mg/kg bw per day based on ↑ incidence of foetal and litter CNS malformations
- QSAR assessment: TCP is expected to be less toxic than chlorpyrifos

ADI = 0.06 mg/kg bw per day (based on the NOAEL of 12 mg/kg bw per day from the 1-year study in dogs and applying an uncertainty factor of 200.

ARfD =0.25 mg/kg bw (based on the NOAEL of 25 mg/kg bw per day from the rabbit developmental toxicity study and applying an uncertainty factor of 100.

2,3,5-trichloro-6-methoxypyridine (TMP)

- Rat oral LD₅₀ > 2000 mg/kg bw in females
- Three in vitro genotoxicity studies: negative (±S9) (Ames test, in vitro mammalian cells gene mutation and chromosome aberration assays)

3,6-dichloro-2-pyridinol (3,6-DCP)

- Rat oral LD₅₀: > 2000 < 5000 mg/kg bw (females)
- Ames test (±S9): negative

Des-methyl chlorpyrifos-methyl (DEM)

- Rat oral LD₅₀: 500 mg/kg bw
- Ames test and in vitro micronucleus test: both negative
- QSAR assessment: expected to be less toxic than parent



Medical data (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.9)

Minimal cases of inhibition of plasma and RBC acetyl-cholinesterase activity in manufacturing plant personnel.

Epidemiological studies (taken together toxicity literature studies) suggest that chlorpyrifos-methyl might be acting on the developing nervous system through unknown mechanisms (H360D)

Summary⁷ (Regulation (EU) N°1107/2009, Annex II, point 3.1 and 3.6)

Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI)

Acute Reference Dose (ARfD)

Acceptable Operator Exposure Level (AOEL)

Acute Acceptable Operator Exposure Level (AAOEL)

Value	Study	Uncertainty factor
open ^(1, 2)	1	-
open ^(1, 2)	1	-
open ^(1, 2)	-	-
open ⁽¹⁾	-	-

Reference values could not be derived since a genotoxic potential could not be excluded for chlorpyrifos-methyl

Dermal absorption (Regulation (EU) N° 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.3)

Representative formulation (GF-1684 EC, 225 g/L)

Concentrate: 2%

Spray dilution (1.10 g/L): 10% Spray dilution (0.45 g/L): 13%

Based on triple pack approach

Representative formulation (SAP200CHLORI CS, 200 g/L)

Concentrate: 25%
Spray dilution: 70%
Based on default values

Exposure scenarios (Regulation (EU) N° 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.2)

Operators

Open. Risk assessment cannot be conducted in the absence of toxicological reference values.

Workers

Open. Risk assessment cannot be conducted in the absence of toxicological reference values.

www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal

19

Previously set toxicological reference values of chlorpyrifosmethyl (European Commission, 2005, 2015): ADI 0.01 mg/kg bw per day, ARfD 0.1 mg/kg bw, AOEL 0.01 mg/kg bw per day

⁷ for metabolites, refer to section: Studies performed on metabolites or impurities



Bystanders and residents

Open. Risk assessment cannot be conducted in the absence of toxicological reference values.

Classification with regard to toxicological data (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, Section 10)

Substance:

Harmonised classification according to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 and its Adaptations to Technical Process [Table 3.1 of Annex VI of Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 as amended]⁸:

Peer review proposal⁹ for harmonised classification according to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008:

Chlorpyrifos-methyl

Skin Sens.1 H317 'May cause an allergic skin reaction'

Skin Sens.1 H317 'May cause an allergic skin reaction'

STOT SE 1, H370 'causes damage to organs' REPRO 1B, H360D 'may damage the unborn child'

_

Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures, amending and repealing Directives 67/548/EEC and 1999/45/EC, and amending Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006. OJ L 353, 31.12.2008, 1-1355.

⁹ It should be noted that classification is formally proposed and decided in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008.



Appendix B -**Used compound codes**

Code/trivial name	IUPAC name/SMILES notation/InChIKey(a)	Structural formula(b)
chlorpyrifos	<i>O,O-</i> diethyl <i>O-</i> 3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl phosphorothioate	CH ₃
	Clc1cc(Cl)c(Cl)nc1OP(=S)(OCC)OCC	
	SBPBAQFWLVIOKP-UHFFFAOYSA-N	CI CH ₃
chlorpyrifos- methyl	<i>O,O</i> -dimethyl <i>O</i> -3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl phosphorothioate	CI N O CH ₃
	Clc1cc(Cl)c(Cl)nc1OP(=S)(OC)OC	
	HRBKVYFZANMGRE-UHFFFAOYSA-N	CI CH ₃
des-methyl chlorpyrifos-	O-methyl O-(3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl) hydrogen phosphorothioate	CI_N_O_//
methyl	Clc1cc(Cl)c(Cl)nc1OP(O)(=S)OC	\$ O HO
(DEM)	DYESOQMZDNCQNZ-UHFFFAOYSA-N	CI CI H ₃ C
sulfotemp	O,O,O',O'tetramethyl dithiopyrophosphate	S S
	COP(=S)(OC)OP(=S)(OC)OC	H ₃ C P P
	XKBNJDRCYDBEAH-UHFFFAOYSA-N	O——CH ₃
sulfotemp ester	<i>O,O,O'-</i> trimethyl <i>O'-(</i> 3,4,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl) dithiopyrophosphate	CI CI CI
	Clc1c(OP(=S)(OC)OP(=S)(OC)OC)nc(Cl)cc1Cl	o s N
	WDHGBTACZJLMHA-UHFFFAOYSA-N	CH ₃
TCP	3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol	CI
	Clc1cc(Cl)c(Cl)nc1O	
	WCYYAQFQZQEUEN-UHFFFAOYSA-N	HO N CI
TMP	2,3,5-trichloro-6-methoxypyridine	CICI
	Clc1cc(Cl)c(Cl)nc1OC	H ₃ C
	RLIVUWLXZBDMBL-UHFFFAOYSA-N	O N CI
3,6-DCP	3,6-dichloro-2-pyridinol	CI
	Oc1nc(Cl)ccc1Cl	
	UGPDKBDRRLFGFD-UHFFFAOYSA-N	HO N CI

⁽a): ACD/Name 2018.2.2 ACD/Labs 2018 Release (File version N50E41, Build 103230, 21 Jul 2018) (b): ACD/ChemSketch 2018.2.2 ACD/Labs 2018 Release (File version C60H41, Build 106041, 07 Dec 2018)



Glossary and abbreviations

a.s. active substanceAChE acetylcholinesterase

ADI acceptable daily intake

AAOEL acute acceptable operator exposure level

AOEL acceptable operator exposure level

AOP adverse outcome pathway

ARfD acute reference dose

AUC area under the blood concentration/time curve

bw body weight

CaMKII calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase type II
CCCEH Columbia Center for Children's Environmental Health

CHAMACOS Center for the Health Assessment of Mothers and Children of Salinas

ChE cholinesterase

CHO Chinese hamster ovary

CLP classification, labelling and packaging

Cmax concentration achieved at peak blood level

CNS central nervous system

CPF chlorpyrifos

DNA deoxyribonucleic acid

DNT developmental neurotoxicity
ECHA European Chemicals Agency

ECHA RAC European Chemicals Agency, Risk Assessment Committee

EC European Commission
ED endocrine disruption
EU European Union

FAAH fatty acid amide hydroxylase FOB functional observation battery GPMT guinea pig Maximisation test

Hb haemoglobin Hct haematocrit

HGPRT hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyl transferase

HSPC hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells

KE key event

LC₅₀ lethal concentration, median

LOAEL lowest observable adverse effect level

MIE molecular initiating event



M&K Maximization test of Magnussen and Kligman

MLT malathion

MoA mode of action

MPT methyl parathion

MS Member State

MTD maximum tolerated dose

NOAEL no observed adverse effect level

OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

OP organophosphate
PND post-natal day
PoD point of departure

ppb parts-per-billion (10^{-9}) ppm parts per million (10^{-6})

QSAR quantitative structure–activity relationship

RAR Renewal Assessment Report

RBC red blood cells

RMS rapporteur Member State

s9 rat liver metabolic activation system

SD standard deviation

 $t_{1/2}$ half-life (define method of estimation) Tmax time until peak blood levels achieved

TSH thyroid-stimulating hormone (thyrotropin)

UDS unscheduled DNA synthesis

US EPA United States Environmental Agency

UF uncertainty factor

WHO Wold Health Organization